

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/648,290	08/24/2000	Hiroyuki Maeda	OPS Case 500 5582	
75	590 11/28/2006	,	EXAMINER	
Flynn Thiel Boutell & Tanis PC			BROADHEAD, BRIAN J	
2026 Rambling			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Kalamazoo, MI 49008-1699		3661	2 110111221	

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	09/648,290	MAEDA, HIROYUKI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brian J. Broadhead	3661			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONET	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Ju</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 July 2006.				
,	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>27-30</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>27-30</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 23 April 2001 is/are: a)[Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 09/648,290 Page 2

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The supplemental responses are not entered as a matter of right (37 CFR 1.111 (a)(2)(ii). In view of this the amendment filed on 9-6-06 has not been entered and the office action is in response to the amendment filed 7-17-06.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The original specification on page 13 discloses that the after the actual speed of the vehicle is less than the target speed the braking control signals are no longer output. This would make sense since the desired speed has been attained. The specification does not disclose that the comparison is also ended. Ending the comparison does seem to make sense because if the actual speed once again overcomes the desired speed that safety hazard would re-appear and there would be no way to slow the vehicle.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 2. Claims 27, 28, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shuman et al., 6675081, in view of Tognazzini, 5771484.
- 3. Shuman et al. disclose a receiver provided on said vehicle which receives said transmitter signal and outputs a control signal based on reception of the transmitter signal outputted by the transmitter(262); said automatic braking device receiving said control signal and operating an antilock control device of said vehicle independent of additional transmitter signals being received from said transmitter, said automatic braking device being operated based on receipt of the control signal in order to operate an automatic brake wherein a braking fluid is obtained by driving a pump of said automatic braking device to supply said braking fluid to wheel brakes provided in at least a pair of right and left wheels to produce a braking force, so that said antilock control device is operable during the operation of the automatic braking device on lines 14-19, on column 7, line 63, on column 11, through line 2, on column 12, and lines 38-43, on column 22; reference value setting means provided in the vehicle by which said reference value corresponding to said target traveling speed is set, and wherein the automatic braking device is operated according to the reference value set by the reference value setting means based on the control signal on lines 28-32, on column 13, and lines 39-56, on column 22; traveling speed detection means provided in the vehicle for detecting said actual traveling speed of the vehicle based on the control signal and outputting an output signal so as to operate the automatic braking device until the output signal reaches a value corresponding to the target traveling speed of the vehicle without additional transmitter signals on lines 38-43, on column 22; an alarm unit

Art Unit: 3661

being provided which generates an alarm to the inside of the vehicle based on the control signal outputted by the receiver based upon receipt of said transmitter signal transmitted from said transmitter on lines 29-36, on column 7; and manual brake actuator on line 50, on column 16.

Shuman et al. do not disclose at least one detection means provided adjacent to the road for detecting a danger state and outputting a detection signal based on detection of said danger state; a transmitter provided adjacent to the road which receives said detection signal and transmits a transmitter signal formed of an electromagnetic wave based on the detection signal; and the transmitter is placed near a tunnel opening.

Tognazzini teaches at least one detection means provided adjacent to the road for detecting a danger state and outputting a detection signal based on detection of said danger state on lines 52-60, on column 2; and a transmitter provided adjacent to the road which receives said detection signal and transmits a transmitter signal formed of an electromagnetic wave based on the detection signal on lines 15-16, on column 5. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the sensor system of Tognazzini in the invention of Shuman et al. because such modification would provide the content services as disclosed on lines 50-53, on column 18 that Shuman calls for.

Shuman et al. and Tognazzini do not disclose the transmitter is placed near a tunnel opening. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Art Unit: 3661

the invention was made to place the transmitter near a tunnel opening because it is a design choice.

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 7-17-06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. Even though the references cited disclose that the reference value may be set to varying values, the preference still reads on the invention as claimed. The claims do not limit the reference value to only one value. Many of the arguments presented are not germane since they do not relate to what is claimed. Also, although applicant is now claiming that the comparison is ended when the target speed is reached, this in not how it is originally disclosed. The original disclosure simply states that the operation signals are no longer sent once the vehicle speed reaches the target speed.

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 09/648,290 Page 6

Art Unit: 3661

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Broadhead whose telephone number is 571-272-6957. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on 571-272-6956. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and (571) 273-8300 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-3600.

BJB

November 27, 2006

THOMAS BLACK
THOMAS BLACK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER